

REMARKS

Applicants have carefully reviewed the Application in light of the Office Action dated July 24, 2007 ("Office Action"). At the time of the Office Action, Claims 1-48 were pending in the Application. The Examiner rejects Claims 1-33 and 48 and allows Claims 34-47. Applicants amend Claims 1, 12, 23, 30, and 33 and cancel Claims 5, 16, and 27, without prejudice or disclaimer. Applicants' amendments and cancellations have been made to advance prosecution in this case and not to overcome the cited references. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the pending claims and favorable action in this case.

Section 103 Rejection

The Examiner rejects Claims 1-33 and 48 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0248583 A1 issued to Satt et al. (hereinafter "*Satt*") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,611,547 issued to Rauhala (hereinafter "*Rauhala*"). Applicants respectfully submit that *Satt* and *Rauhala*, alone and in combination, fail to disclose, teach, or suggest each limitation recited in Applicants' claims. For example, *Satt* and *Rauhala*, alone and in combination, fail to disclose "wherein altering an association of the class of service queues for the mobile device from a first sector to a second sector comprises: deleting an object link corresponding to the mobile device from a first sector object list in the gateway; and creating an object link corresponding to the mobile device in a second sector object list in the gateway." Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of independent Claim 1 and its dependents.

Independent Claims 12 and 23 each recite certain limitations that, for reasons substantially similar to those discussed with reference to independent Claim 1, *Satt* and *Rauhala*, alone and in combination, do not disclose, teach, or suggest. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of independent Claims 12 and 23 together with their dependents.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's allowance of Claims 34-47. *Office Action*, p. 9. The Examiner provides a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Office Action, p. 9. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants respectfully issue a statement commenting on the Examiner's reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's reasons for allowance to the extent that they are inconsistent with applicable case law, statutes, and regulations. Furthermore, Applicants do not admit to any characterization or limitation of the claims, particularly any that are inconsistent with the language of the claims considered in their entirety and including all of their constituent limitations or to any characterization of a reference by the Examiner. Applicants have shown all pending claims to be allowable and respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

CONCLUSION

Applicants have made an earnest attempt to place this case in condition for immediate allowance. For the foregoing reasons and for all other reasons clear and apparent, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims.

Applicants believe no fee is currently due; however, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credits to Deposit Account No. 02-0384 of Baker Botts, L.L.P.

If there are matters that can be discussed by telephone to advance prosecution of this application, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact its attorney, Christa Brown-Sanford, at (214) 953-6824.

Respectfully submitted,
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
Attorneys for Applicants



Christa Brown-Sanford
Reg. No. 58,503

Date: Oct. 22, 2007

at Customer No. **05073**